



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/685,837 | 10/15/2003 | Jost Seibler | 100725-38 / Kreisler 1109 | 8812 |
| 27384 | 7590 | 08/28/2008 | EXAMINER | |
| NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, PA | | | SINGH, ANOOP KUMAR | |
| 875 THIRD AVENUE | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 18TH FLOOR | | | 1632 | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10022 | | | MAIL DATE | |
| | | | 08/28/2008 | |
| | | | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 10/685,837 | Applicant(s) SEIBLER ET AL. |
| | Examiner Anoop Singh | Art Unit 1632 |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 May 2008.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,5,6,8-12,15-24,26,27 and 30-38 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) 1,5-6, 8-12,15-24, 26-27, 30-38 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's response and amendment to the claims filed May 30, 2008 have been received and entered. Applicants have amended claims 1, 27 and 28, while claims 2-4, 7, 13-14, 25, 28-29 have been canceled. Applicants have also added claims 31-38 that are generally directed to elected invention.

Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of group IV (27) filed October 24, 2005 was acknowledged. Applicant argument of examining method for gene knock down in a vertebrate (group 1) with elected group were found persuasive, therefore invention of group I and IV directed to vertebrate and method of gene knock down in a vertebrate were rejoined for the examination purposes. Accordingly, a method for gene knock down in a vertebrate and vertebrate having stable integration at Polymerase II dependent locus, an expression vector comprising an shRNA construct under control of a ubiquitous promoter were examined in the instant application. Accordingly, a new election of restriction/election of species are required in view of newly added claims 31-38. It is noted that 37 CFR 1.142(a) provides that restriction is proper at any stage of prosecution up to final action, a second requirement may be made when it becomes proper, even though there was a prior requirement with which applicant complied. *Ex parte Benke*, 1904C.D. 63, 108 O.G. 1588 (Comm'r Pat. 1904).

Claims 1, 5-6, 8-12, 15-24, 26-37 and 38 are pending and subject to further restriction in view of Applicants' amendment to the claims.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct shRNA sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO 19-220. The sequences are independent or distinct because claims to the different shRNA for gene recite the mutually

Art Unit: 1632

exclusive characteristics of such shRNA sequence. In addition, these sequences have distinct physical and chemical structure and encode different protein and therefore these sequences would not be coextensive in patent and non patent literature.

MPEP 803.04 states:

Nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequence is presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.141 et seq. Nevertheless, to further aid the biotechnology industry in protecting its intellectual property without creating an undue burden on the Office, the Commissioner has decided sua sponte to partially waive the requirements of 37 CFR 1.141 et seq. and permit a reasonable number of such nucleotide sequences to be claimed in a single application. See Examination of Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequences, 1192 O.G. 68 (November 19, 1996).

Although the MPEP deems that up to ten nucleotide sequences may be searched without restriction, the Commissioner has stated that, "The Office has reconsidered the policy set forth in the 1996 Notice in view of changes in the complexity of applications filed, the types of inventions claimed and the state of the prior art in this technology since that time. Because of these changes, the search and examination of up to ten molecules described by their nucleotide sequence often consumes a disproportionate amount of Office resources over that expended in 1996. Consequently, with this Notice the Office rescinds the partial waiver of 37 CFR 1.141 et seq. for restriction practice in national applications filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a), and 37 CFR 1.475 et seq. for unity of invention determinations in both PCT international applications and the resulting national stage applications under 35 U.S.C. 371." See Examination of Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequences 1316 OG 122 (March 27, 2007). **For this reason, restriction to ONE SEQUENCE is being applied to all applications at this time.**

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed shRNA gene sequence for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted

if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 31 is generic. This is a restriction requirement and not election of species.

Claim 31 is also generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct sequence that are species of same gene (see SEQ ID NO: 26-29 or 30-33 etc). As stated before each shRNA sequence species has distinct structure and require separate search in commercial data base. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed sequence for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37

CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anoop Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-3306. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras can be reached on (571) 272- 4517. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anoop Singh
AU 1632

/Thaian N. Ton/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1632